

One way to tour Chomsky's *Categories and transformations* ("Chapter Four"; Chomsky 1995) is to start at the top of page 219 and to travel on, undisturbed, until you reach the bottom of page 394. But more generally, visitors to Chapter Four will be accidental tourists, introduced while the structure is in mid-derivation, and unable to recover the building blocks employed or to appreciate the vistas before them. This is partly due to the circumstance that the entire landscape is infested with wormholes hurling you from one page to another like a pinball in a multi-dimensional machine. These wormholes are conveniently marked by signs like "as we have seen" or "we will return to that", crucially placed right by the most stunning claims or the most enticing prospects. By special request of *Glot International*, the Rough Guide has prepared a directory for you to steer you safely through the layered structures. Please note that in making the relevant displacements, the Minimal Link Condition is rarely obeyed, although the Uniformity Condition, in general, is.

Concordance of intratextual references in Chomsky's "Chapter Four" (*The Minimalist Program*, first edition, 1995, Cambridge, Mass., MIT Press).

page	text	refers to
222	see section 4.7	316
222	See section 4.6	312
223-4	entire paragraph	49-50, 214 note 20
228	see section 4.9	348
228	as already noted	227
228	we will sharpen it	280
229	returning .. in section 4.5.2	279
231	we will return .. 4.2.2	236
231	see section 4.3.3	263
232	we will see ..	292
232	I-to-C raising	290
233	until then	342
233	in chapter 3	198
233	noted earlier	232
233	to which we return	292
235	typical position	330
237	section 4.10.3	375
242	section 1.3.2	53
245	to which we return	285
246	not always through strict identity	248
248	we will return to this matter	254
250	we will return to this matter	254
251	section 3.5	202
252	see sections 1.5 and 3.5	125, 203
253	section 1.4.3	124
255	a more complex alternative	197
260	we will see	323
261	assumed in the preceding chapters	124
266	as discussed in section 3.5	202
267	we will return	307
267	an analysis to be revised below	273, 286
268	see end of section 4.4.1	255
269	raises the categorial feature	232
271	independently, we will see	319
271	questions remaining .. YP-adjunction to XP	324
275	LF movement approach	104, 208
276	further evidence	273
278	the intuitive asymmetry	259
279	accessible to the computational system	230, 266
279	in an interesting way	354
281	will be explored below	354
281	see (24)	=(25), 262
281	the parallelism cases discussed earlier	252
282	to which we will return	286
282	since I has a strong D-feature (EPP)	199
282	see section 1.4.3	110
283	agreement with the adjective	353
284	the fact that agreement can be assigned...	175
285	as noted earlier	245
287	earlier we considered	276
287	the alternative idea	65
288	contrary to the assumption in chapter 2	158
288	we have seen some reason	273f
290	as proposed earlier	232
292	we came to the conclusion	232
296	as discussed in chapter 3	184
296	(82)	311
299	the issue will dissolve later on	356
299	we will see in section 4.10	356
300	co-constituent	252
300	it was suggested in chapter 1	124
300	in chapter 3 we assumed	182
309	we will see	371
311	the definition (82) of the MLC	296
312	some will be suggested in section 4.10	352
313	it makes little sense...	180

314	receives independent confirmation	357
315	are given in section 4.9	347
316	as we will see	331, 352
@@318	adjunction of features	271
318	see (51) and note 47	280, 384
319	(119)	177
320	the uniformity condition (17)	253
321	discussed earlier	315
322	a case that we now assume does not exist	276
323	in section 4.7.5	329
323	adjunction...has a very restricted range	260
326	understood...as in chapter 3	202
326	reconstruction...in A-chains	210
327	the "extension condition" of chapter 3	190
328	already discussed	248
328	briefly sketched in section 4.4.1	254
328	we will return in section 4.10	365
328	principle (95)	=(94), 304
328	As discussed	307
329	see 130 and note 97	323, 390
329	a dubious idea, as noted	323
330	we have speculated	320
332	for reasons already discussed	315
333	see section 4.7.3	324
333	for reasons discussed	325?
339	the narrow options discussed earlier	323
342	section 4.2.1	233
342	to which we will turn in section 4.10	350, 372
342	provide additional support	287
343	we have found considerable evidence	273
345	the ECM cases	122, 267
347	In section 4.6	315
347	We will return to this question	362
348	Let us delay the question	366
348	the basic assumption about reference sets	227
349	The Status of Agr	137
349	Agr lacks _features	240, 255
350	a fact yet to be explained	372
350	As noted	342
351	Continuing tentatively to assume	349
352	Recall that subject...	311
353	as we will see	368
353	We assumed	283
354	overcoming an earlier problem	385 note 51
354	of the kind discussed earlier	286
357	We will return to a closer analysis	368f
358	Holmberg's generalization and other effects	185, 180
358	the earlier, more complex definition	298-299
358	see (94) and discussion	304
363	We have seen	315, 347
364	and we have seen that	287
365	We concluded earlier	304
365	see discussion of (138)	328
365	we will return to this	?
365	But see note 68	387
365	lingering from before	344
366	economy principle (76)	294
368	In section 4.9 we noted	342
368	[object raising to inner/outer spec]	358
369	by merged Subj, as we have seen	352
369	We have already excluded Merge	304, 355
369	lacks a _role, violating FI	315
369	merged in this position, as we have seen	362f
369	We have briefly (and incompletely)	356?, 365?
371	several earlier assumptions	304, 309
372-3	We know that...deleted by N-raising from Subj	364
376	the last gap in establishing (196)	365 note 140
381	note 11	74, 208
383	note 28	307
383	note 29	182, 300
383	note 36	200, 286
385	note 51	282, 354
389	note 84	314
389	note 87	181, 280
389	note 88	312
390	note 94	177
393	note 131	311-312
393	note 133	283
393	note 139	324
393	note 140	376
394	note 141	354
394	note 142	372